PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	29	JUN	2005
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)



Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
10589-34-228		nth/vear) Priority date (day/month/year)	
International application No.	International filing date (day/mo		
PCT/US04/09590	26 March 2004 (26.03.2004)	27 March 2003 (27.03.2003)	
International Patent Classification (II	C) or national classification and IPC		
IPC(7): A01N 61/00; C12Q 1/00; G0	IN 33/566, 573 and 574. and US Cl.: 4	435/ 4, 6, 7.2, 7.21, 41, 69.2, 91.3, 183; 514/ 1, 2	
Applicant			
PTC THERAPEUTICS			
1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.			
	of a total of sheets, including		
-	mpanied by ANNEXES, comprisi	i e	
a. [] (sent to the app	licant and to the International Bur	reau) a total of sheets, as follows:	
this repo	the description, claims and/or draw t and/or sheets containing rectific on 607 of the Administrative Instr	wings which have been amended and are the basis of cations authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 uctions).	
sheets withat goes	ich supersede earlier sheets, but v beyond the disclosure in the inter I and the Supplemental Box.	which this Authority considers contain an amendment mational application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of	
1. Count to the Int	mational Ruragu only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))	
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).			
4. This report contains in	dications relating to the following	items:	
Box No. I	Basis of the report		
Box No. II	Priority		
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion wapplicability	rith regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Art industrial applicability; citations	ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or s and explanations supporting such statement	
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the internation		
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the inte		
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report			
26 October 2004 (26.10.2004)		June 2005 (16.06.2005)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Authrazed officer Bermett Gelsa			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(J:	1,191	ephone No. 571-272-1600	

International application No.	-
PCT/US04/09590	

Box No. I Basis of the report
 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-150 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by the re
the claims:
pages 151-155 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Afficie 19 pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the drawings:
pages 1/2-2/2 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(e)).
The description makes
the description, pages
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

International application No.	
PCT/US04/09590	

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
Claims Nos. 25
because:
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 25
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
the written form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard
the computer readable form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for further details.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (January 2004)

International application No.	
PCT/US04/09590	

Box No.	· IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	In resp	conse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2.	68.1,	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This	Autho	rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		lied with.
\boxtimes	not co	emplied with for the following reasons:
See the	lack of	unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
,		
4. Con	sequer	atly, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all	parts
	the	parts relating to claims Nos. <u>1-24</u>

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. IV) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/09590

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Arrapplicability; citations and exp	ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ind planations supporting such statement	ustrial
1. Statemen	ıt		
ī	Novelty (N)	Claims 2-6, 9-10, 12, 14, 16 and 22-24	YES
TAGACTTÀ (TA)		Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15 and 17-21	NO
1	nventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
inventive stop (iii)	nventive Brop (113)	Claims 1-24	NO
Ţ	ndustrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-24	YES
industrial Application (12.5)		Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/09590

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: Claim 25 is not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a) and 6.4(b) since "said subject" lacks antecedent basis when referring to claim 18 and a multiple dependent claim is improperly dependent on another multiple dependent claim.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/09590

Supplemental Box	
s the proceeding bayes is not sufficient.	
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	
Continuation of:	
V. 2. Citations and Explanations:	Pat. No. 5,726,195A (HILL et al.)

Hill et al. disclose small molecule antifungal (e.g anti-yeast) compounds for treating microbial infections when administered to a host (e.g. human). These compounds inhibit tRNA enzymes (e.g. synthetases) and comprise structure within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see examples and patent claims). The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present. In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 20-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 6,446,032 B1 (SCHIMMEL)

Schimmel discloses small molecule (e.g. see bottom of col. 27-28) antiproliferative (e.g. chemotherapeutic agents: see col. 3) compounds for treating cancer when administered to a host (e.g. human). These RNA (e.g. tRNA) binding compounds comprise structure within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see col. 27-28, examples and patent claims). The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind tRNA. In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 18-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 01/25486 (RANA).

The Rana reference discloses assay-derived tRNA inhibiting (e.g. binding: see e.g. bottom of page 9-top of top of page 10; and claims, especially claims 1,2, 28-30, 40-43,) compounds within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. claims 25-26) which are antiproliferative and antifungal for use in treating fungal (e.g. yeast: see i.e. claims 47-48) infections (e.g. see page 10-11 et al.) and antiproliferative disorders (e.g. cancer; i.e. see claim 46) when administered to humans. The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. tRNA). In any event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 18-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/083837A1(ALMSTEAD).

The Almstead reference discloses assay-derived tRNA binding compounds (e.g. see pages 3-4; bottom of page 10-11) within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see pages 21-23; claim 5) which are antiproliferative and antifungal for use in treating fungal (e.g. yeast) infections and antiproliferative disorders (e.g. cancer) when administered to humans. See claims; page 12; page 39 etc. The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. tRNA). In any

International application No. PCT/US04/09590

Supplemental Box

event the claim is not structure-limited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 18-21 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by WO 02/083953 A1 (RANDO et al.).

The Rando et al. reference discloses assay-derived RNA binding (e.g. tRNA) compounds which effect RNA host cell factor complexes in vivo (e.g. RNA splicing: see page 10; bottom of page 12-page 13) which compounds are within the scope of the presently claimed invention (e.g. see claim 5) which are antiproliferative and antifungal for use in treating fungal (e.g. yeast) infections and antiproliferative disorders (e.g. cancer) when administered to humans. See e.g. pages 12-13; pages 47-53 et al. The ability to inhibit tRNA ligase is inherently present due to the ability of these compounds to bind RNA (e.g. tRNA). In any event the claim is not structurelimited and the PTO lacks the facilities for making comparisons between prior art compounds and the claimed prospective assay-derived compounds.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15 and 17 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by GREER, Molecular and Cellular Biology Vol. 6, No. 2 (Feb. 1986) pages 635-644.

Greer teaches a competitive assay for joining tRNA halves (e.g. 5' and3' tRNA half molecules) in which ligation is measured between yeast ligase (e.g. a fungal tRNA splicing ligase derived from a yeast cell free extract) and T4 ligase (e.g. a "small organic" compound) as compared to a control. See e.g. Abstract; pages 638-641.

Claims 1-24 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over WO 01/25486 (RANA), WO 02/083837A1(ALMSTEAD) and/or WO 02/083953 A1 (RANDO et al.) in view of GREER, Molecular and Cellular Biology, HYDE-DERUYSCHER et al. Chem. & Biol. Vol. 7, No. 1 and LI et al., Science Vol. 280 (4/98).

The presently claimed invention is directed to identifying antifungal/antiproliferative compounds by screening (e.g. highthroughput) compounds (e.g. library derived) for their ability to inhibit the ligation of mammalian/yeast tRNA half molecules by inhibiting tRNA-ligase binding relative to a control.

Screening assays (e.g. highthroughput) of single compounds or compound libraries for their ability to disrupt RNA (e.g. tRNA) interactions (e.g. including splicing) in order to identify antifungal/antiproliferative drug candidates is taught by the RANA, ALMSTEAD AND/OR RANDO reference whose teaching discussed above is herby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

The RANA, ALMSTEAD AND/OR RANDO reference methods differ from the presently claimed invention by failing to explicitly teach the application of its methods to tRNA ligation assays which incorporate tRNA half molecules and tRNA ligase.

However, Li et al. teach that the tRNA splicing pathway is analogous in mammals and other organisms (e.g. fungi).

In this regard, Greer teaches a competitive assay for joining tRNA halves (e.g. 5' and 3' tRNA half molecules) in which ligation is measured between yeast ligase (e.g. a fungal tRNA splicing ligase derived from a yeast cell free extract) and T4 ligase (e.g. a "small organic" compound) as compared to a control. See e.g. Abstract; pages 638-641. Greer's competitive endonuclease/ligase assays would be expected to be extrapolatable to mammalian systems in light of the Li et al. reference teaching.

Additionally, the HYDE-DERUYSCHER et al reference teaches that high-throughput screening of "small molecule" compound libraries (e.g. phage) is ideal for screening "small molecule" enzyme inhibitors for a variety of different enzymes, including ligases.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to utilize tRNA ligation assays (e.g. incorporating tRNA half molecules and ligages) in the highthroughput screening methods of RANA, ALMSTEAD AND/OR RANDO since these references specifically suggest screening small molecule libraries for compounds which disrupt tRNA interactions including splicing and in light of the secondary reference teaching that tRNA splicing pathway in mammals/fungi is known and analogous; and the known teaching of competitive tRNA endonuclease/ligase assays; with the desirability of using highthroughput screening of small molecular libraries for screening enzyme (e.g. ligase) binding compounds as drug candidates.

Cl ca	tims 1-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed be made or used in industry.
	NEW CITATIONS
Forn	PCT/IPEA/409 (Supplemental Box) (January 2004)